

# UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

**Patent and Trademark Office** 

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/009,932 01/21/98 TANAKA K 35G2116 **EXAMINER** 005514 WM02/1003 FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO VU, N 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** NEW YORK NY 10112 2612 **DATE MAILED:** 

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

10/03/01

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/009,932

Applicant(s)

Kolchiro TANAKA et al.

Examiner

Ngoc-Yen VU

Art Unit 2612



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica	R 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,	a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will
<ul> <li>be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory percommunication.</li> </ul>	eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st	latute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). nailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	
1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jan 2</u>	1, 1998
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowand closed in accordance with the practice under	ce except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is Ex parte Quayle35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	,
4) 🗓 Claim(s) <u>1-72</u>	is/are pending in the applica
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from considera
5)	is/are allowed.
	2, 54-63, 66-69, 71, and 72 js/are rejected.
7) X Claim(s) 11, 12, 17, 28, 29, 34, 47, 48, 53, 64, 6	5, and 70 jé/are objected to.
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirem
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected to by the Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a∏ approved b)☐disapproved.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 12.	miner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
13) 🛛 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a)⊠ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐None of:	
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> </ol>	ave been received.
2.  Certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been received in Application No
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International But</li> <li>*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ol>	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest	
Attachment(s)	40
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/009,932

Art Unit: 2612

#### DETAILED ACTION

### **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

### Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement, filed 04/20/1998, has been placed in the application file, and the information referred to therein has been considered as to the merits.

### Claim Objections

3. Claim 42 is objected to because of the following informalities: line 4, change "rightward tilt" to --rightward pan--. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Art Unit: 2612

5. Claims 1-4, 9-10, 13-16, 18-21, 26-27, 30-33, 35-40, 45-46, 49-52, 54-57, 62-63, 66-69, and 72-73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cortjens et al. (US #5,568,183).

Regarding claim 1, Cortjens '183 teaches a camera control system (Fig. 1) comprising" display means (monitors 21A/21B) for displaying an image sensed by a camera, the displayed image corresponding to an image signal output from the camera (col. 5 line 30 - col. 6 line 46);

detection means (converters 11A/11B) for detecting a figure on a display surface on which the image is being displayed by said display means (col. 6 line 21 - col. 7 line 11; col. 8 line 28 - col. 10 line 14; col. 11 line 30 - col. 14 line 54; col. 14 line 55 - col. 18 line 47);

output means (controller 10) for outputting a command for controlling the camera on the basis of the figure detected by said detection means (col. 6 line 21 - col. 7 line 11; col. 8 line 28 - col. 10 line 14; col. 10 line 58 - col. 11 line 26; col. 14 line 55 - col. 18 line 47); and

control means for controlling the camera on the basis of the camera control command output from said output means (col. 6 line 21 - col. 7 line 11; col. 8 line 28 - col. 10 line 14; col. 14 line 55 - col. 18 line 47).

As to claim 2, Cortjens '183 teaches that said detection means further detects an action of depicting the figure on the display surface of said display means (col. 6 line 21 - col. 7 line 11; col. 8 line 28 - col. 10 line 14; col. 11 line 30 - col. 14 line 54; col. 14 line 55 - col. 18 line 47).

Art Unit: 2612

As to claims 3-4, Cortjens '183 further teaches that said output means outputs a control command for at least one of pan control, tilt control, and zoom control of the camera (col. 6 line 21 - col. 7 line 11; col. 8 line 28 - col. 10 line 14; col. 10 line 58 - col. 11 line 26; col. 14 line 55 - col. 18 line 47).

As to claims 9-10, Cortjens '183 teaches that a depiction of an arrow on the display surface of said display means is detected by said detection means, then said output means outputs a control command for control of at least one of pan and tilt of the camera according to the direction of the detected arrow, wherein said output means determines a controlled amount of at least one of the pan and tilt of the camera according to a length of the detected arrow (col. 14 line 55 - col. 16 line 56).

As to claims 13-14, Cortjens '183 teaches that a depiction description of a substantially rectangular figure on the display surface of said display means is detected by said detection means, then said output means outputs a command for controlling a zoom ratio according to a size of the substantially rectangular figure detected, wherein if a depiction of a substantially rectangular figure on the display surface of said display means is detected by said detection means, then said output means further outputs a control command for performing at least one of pan and tilt of the camera such that an image displayed at a center of the substantially rectangular figure is positioned at a center of the display surface (col. 16 line 57 - col. 18 line 47).

As to claims 15-16, Cortjens '183 teaches that a depiction of a crisscross figure on the display surface of said display means is detected by said detection means, then said output means

Art Unit: 2612

outputs a command for controlling a zoom ratio in the zoom-out direction according to a size of the crisscross figure detected, wherein said output means outputs a control command for performing at least one of pan and tilt of the camera such that an image displayed at a point of intersection of the two segments forming the crisscross figure is positioned at the center of the display surface (col. 16 line 57 - col. 18 line 47).

Regarding claim 18, Cortjens '183 teaches a camera control system (Fig. 1) comprising" display means (monitors 21A/21B) for displaying an image sensed by a camera, the displayed image corresponding to an image signal output from the camera (col. 5 line 30 - col. 6 line 46);

detection means (converters 11A/11B) for detecting a figure on a display surface on which the image is being displayed by said display means (col. 6 line 21 - col. 7 line 11; col. 8 line 28 - col. 10 line 14; col. 11 line 30 - col. 14 line 54; col. 14 line 55 - col. 18 line 47);

output means (controller 10) for outputting a command for controlling the camera on the basis of the figure detected by said detection means (col. 6 line 21 - col. 7 line 11; col. 8 line 28 - col. 10 line 14; col. 10 line 58 - col. 11 line 26; col. 14 line 55 - col. 18 line 47).

As to claim 19, the subject limitation in this claim can be found in claim 2.

As to claims 20-21, the subject limitation in these claims can be found in claims 3-4, respectively.

As to claims 26-27, the subject limitation in these claims can be found in claims 9-10, respectively.

Art Unit: 2612

As to claims 30-31, the subject limitation in these claims can be found in claims 13-14, respectively.

As to claims 32-33, the subject limitation in these claims can be found in claims 15-16, respectively.

Regarding claims 35-40, they are method claims of the apparatus claims 18-21, respectively. Therefore, they are analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to the apparatus claims 18-21.

Regarding claims 45-46, they are method claims of the apparatus claims 26-27, respectively. Therefore, they are analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to the apparatus claims 26-27.

Regarding claims 49-52, they are method claims of the apparatus claims 30-33, respectively. Therefore, they are analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to the apparatus claims 30-33.

Regarding claims 54-57, they are method claims of the apparatus claims 18-21, respectively. Therefore, they are analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to the apparatus claims 18-21. It is noted that Cortjens teaches that the camera control system is a computer which can downloaded programs stored in respective recording medium.

Regarding claims 62-63, they are method claims of the apparatus claims 26-27, respectively. Therefore, they are analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to the apparatus claims 26-27.

Application/Control Number: 09/009,932 Page 7

Art Unit: 2612

Regarding claims 66-69, they are method claims of the apparatus claims 30-33, respectively. Therefore, they are analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to the apparatus claims 30-33. It is noted that Cortjens teaches that the camera control system is a computer which can downloaded programs stored in respective recording medium.

Regarding claims 72-73, the subject limitation in these claims can be found in claims 1-2, respectively.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 09/009,932

Art Unit: 2612

8. Claims 5-8, 22-25, 41-44, and 58-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cortiens et al. (US #5,568,183) in view of Kawai et al. (JP # 4-302587).

As to claims 5-8, Cortjens '183 teaches that if an action of depicting a segment from right to left, from left to right, from bottom to top or from top to bottom on the display surface of said display means, then the output means outputs a command for leftward pan, rightward pan, unward tilt or downward tilt control, respectively of the camera (col. 14, line 55 - col. 16 line 56). However, claims 5-8 differ from Cortjens in that the claims further require that these pan and tilt commands are output from the output means according to the length of the segment. The limitation is well known in the art as shown in Kawai. In the same field of endeavor, Kawai '587 teaches a video camera control system for controlling a video camera (4) (see Fig. 1) wherein the commands for controlling the camera are input via a mouse 9 on a window 7 of a screen 6 (see the translated copy of Kawai on pages 2-4). In figures 4-5, Kawai further teaches that the window has segments from A to I, wherein the pan and tilt commands for controlling the camera 4 are outputted according to the length of these segments (see pages 5-7). In light of the teaching from Kawai, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the camera control system taught in Cortjens by outputting upward and downward pan/tilt commands according to the length of the segment so as allow the user to specifically designate the pan and tilt amounts using a mouse on a display device.

As to claims 22-25, the subject limitation in these claims can be found in claims 5-8, respectively.

Application/Control Number: 09/009,932 Page 9

Art Unit: 2612

Regarding claims 41-44, they are method claims of the apparatus claims 22-25, respectively. Therefore, they are analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to the apparatus claims 22-25.

Regarding claims **58-61**, they are method claims of the apparatus claims 22-25, respectively. Therefore, they are analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to the apparatus claims 22-25. It is noted that Cortjens teaches that the camera control system is a computer which can downloaded programs stored in respective recording medium.

### Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 11-12, 17, 28-29, 34, 47-48, 53, 64-65 and 70 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

10. Any response to this office action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

#### or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Application/Control Number: 09/009,932 Page 10

Art Unit: 2612

(for informal or draft communications, please label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Ngoc-Yen Vu** whose telephone number is (703) 305-4946. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri from 8 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy Garber, can be reached on (703) 305-4929.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the customer service whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

NYV 09/26/2001

Ngoc-Yen Vu

Patent Examiner

Group Art Unit 2612